

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/614,051	07/08/2003	Ming-Ren Lin	H1132	7561	
45114 75	590 12/06/2006		EXAMINER		
HARRITY SNYDER, LLP			RICHARDS, N DREW		
11350 Randon Hills Road SUITE 600		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FAIRFAX, VA	22030		2815		
			DATE MAILED: 12/06/200	DATE MAILED: 12/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>1</b>		$\mathcal{I}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,051	LIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	N. Drew Richards	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin  rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from  cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Secondary</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This      Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under Expression in the practice u	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) ☐ Claim(s) 7-20 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>08 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Note that the term "fin structure" has been interpreted in light of applicant's specification and figures as being a structure as shown in figures 3A and 3B. This interpretation is consistent with applicant's previous arguments and the decision from the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu in view of Frenette et al. (USPAT 5770490, Frenette), with evidence provided by Wolf et al. (originally cited by Examiner in the examiner's answer mailed 9/7/05, relied upon herein as evidence).

### With regard to claim 1:

Wu discloses in figures 6 – 10 a method for forming FinFET devices. Wu discloses in figure 6, figure 7a and paragraph [0019] forming a first fin structure (5), a source region, and a drain region (portions of 5 not covered by gate 9) in a first area of a wafer (1/2). Wu discloses in figure 6, figure 7 and paragraph [0019] forming a second fin structure (6), a source region, and a drain region (portions of 6 not covered by gate

Art Unit: 2815

9) in a second area of the wafer. Wu discloses in figure 7b and paragraph [0021] forming a boron silicate glass layer (10) on the first area and the second area. Wu discloses in figure 7b and paragraph [0021] removing the boron silicate glass layer from the second area. Wu discloses in figure 8b and paragraph [0022] forming a phosphosilicate glass layer (12) on the first area and the second area. Wu discloses in figure 9b and paragraph [0023] annealing the first area and the second area, the annealing causing the first fin structure, source region, and drain region of the first area to be doped with boron and causing the second fin structure, source region, and drain region of the second area to be doped with phosphorus. Note that the first and second "fin" structure are inherently doped as the dopants will diffuse under the edge of the gate, thus doping a portion of the fin structures underneath the gate. See Wolf et al. as evidence of this lateral diffusion. Wu discloses in figure 10 and paragraph [0024] removing the phosphosilicate glass layer from the first area and the second area and removing the boron silicate glass layer from the first area.

As described above, Wu teaches first forming a boron silicate glass layer and then forming a phosphosilicate glass layer. Wu does not teach first forming the phosphosilicate glass layer and then, second, forming the boron silicate glass layer. It is well known in the art that when simultaneously doping source and drain regions using phosphosilicate glass and boron silicon glass the glass layers may be deposited in any order. Whether phosphosilicate glass is used first or boron silicate glass is used first, this would be recognized by the ordinary artisian as a design choice.

Application/Control Number: 10/614,051

Art Unit: 2815

Frenette teaches in figures 2 – 5 and column 3, line 28 – column 4, line 10 wherein either phosphosilicate glass or boron silicate glass can be deposited first (layer 10), and the other glass layer (whichever phosphosilicate or boron silicate is not layer 10) is deposited second 34 when doping source and drain regions (40/42 and 44/46).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to first deposit phosphosilicate glass as layer 10 in Wu and then deposit boron silicate glass as layer 12 in Wu in view of the teaching of Frenette in order to use a design choice that is well understood in the art as articulated by Frenette in column 3, line 28 – column 4, line 10. Further, MPEP 2144.04 IV.C. states that changes in a sequence of adding ingredients is obvious. In this case the ingredients are the glass layers, and Frenette clearly teaches in column 3, line 28 – column 4, line 10 that they may be deposited (added) in either order to serve the same purpose of doping source drain regions.

In combination, the phosphosilicate glass layer is formed first. In doing so it is formed in the same manner as Wu teaches forming the first glass layer. That is, the first glass layer is formed adjacent to at least one of a top surface or a side surface of the first fin structure. Since the glass layer is formed directly contacting the gate structure (which has the "fin structure" underneath), the glass layer also necessarily is adjacent to a top and side surface of the first fin structure. The first fin structure, gate structure and first glass layer form a junction that is the configuration of a line. At this line the first glass layer (phosphosilicate glass layer) is adjacent to the top surface and side surface of the first fin structure as claimed.

Art Unit: 2815

The combination of Wu with Frenette, wherein layer 10 is phosphosilicate glass and layer 12 is boron silicate glass, will be used when considering the remainder of the claims.

With regard to claim 2, the combination of Wu and Frenette teaches in Wu, paragraphs [0021] and [0022], wherein the forming a phosphosilicate glass layer on the first area and the second area includes depositing phosphosilicate glass to a thickness ranging from about 100 Å to about 500 Å (the overlapping, disclosed range of 100 Å – 2000 Å anticipates the claimed range).

With regard to claim 3, the combination of Wu and Frenette teaches in Wu, paragraphs [0021] and [0022], wherein the forming a boron silicate glass layer on the first area and the second area includes: depositing boron silicate glass to a thickness ranging from about 100 Å to about 500 Å (the overlapping, disclosed range of 100 Å – 2000 Å anticipates the claimed range).

With regard to claim 4, the combination of Wu and Frenette teaches in Wu, paragraphs [0021] – [0023], wherein the first area is an N-channel area (when layer 10 is phosphosilicate glass).

With regard to claim 5, the combination of Wu and Frenette teaches in Wu, paragraphs [0021] – [0023], wherein the second area is a P-channel area (when layer 12 is borosilicate glass).

With regard to claim 6, the combination of Wu and Frenette teaches in Wu, figure7b and paragraphs [0021] – [0022], wherein the removing a phosphosilicate glass

Art Unit: 2815

layer from the second area includes masking (11) the first area, and etching the phosphosilicate glass from the second area (when layer 10 is phosphosilicate glass).

### Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 7-20 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With regard to claims 7-12, the prior art of record fails to teach, disclose or suggest either alone or in combination, the combination of limitations as claimed in claim 7 including where the annealing uniformly dopes the fin structure and the source and drain regions of the N-channel and P-channel devices. The prior art suggests doping the fin structure and source and drain regions but does not teach uniformly doping the fin structure since the entirety of the fin structure of Wu will not be doped.

With regard to claims 13-20, the prior art of record fails to teach, disclose or suggest either alone or in combination, the combination of limitations as claimed in claim 13 including annealing the first and second area to dope the fin structures prior to forming a gate electrode. The prior art teaches annealing to dope the fin structures but teach performing the annealing after a gate electrode is formed on each fin.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with regard to claims 1-6 filed 9/26/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Wu teaches the gate electrode being interposed between the phosphosilicate glass layer and the fin structure and thus does not teach the phosphosilicate glass layer being formed adjacent to at least one of a top surface or a side surface of the first fin structure. This is not persuasive. As explained in the rejection above, the glass layer is formed adjacent to the top and side surface of the fin structure along the interface of the fin structure with the edge of the gate. The glass layer is not formed adjacent to the entire top surface or side surface but it is formed adjacent to an edge of the surfaces and thus reads on the invention as claimed.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Application/Control Number: 10/614,051 Page 8

Art Unit: 2815

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1736. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

N. DREW RICHARDS PRIMARY EXAMINER